1894-95
(R.R)

File No. 121 of 94
Serial No. 1-6.

Subs- Appeal dt Seenappa Sastry and Narasinga Rao of Harihar regarding certain Jodi Inams

16

(3) entry on the
of the title deed which
was prepared so
far back as 20
October 1868 cannot
be questioned at
this distance of time.

The order of
the Dy Commr is
Correct and this
appeal is dismissed

Endorsement

Petitioners are
informed that their
appeal has been
dismissed

P. F. 52 of 94

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1924. II. 25

192

( d. 2. )

File No. 121 of 94

Serial Nos. 1–6.

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1–6.

Major **Part B for** November 1924

---

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Penagiha Party and Narasingo Rao Gaikwar, regarding certain Joshi Inams.

---

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Copy Enclosed

(1)

Appeal No. 7 of 94 95

Appellants

1 Lemaffa Sarby

2 Nadunga Rew

Respts

Appeara

of Harbar

Harbar Sub Valley

Chairlord Dr

Dr Survey No 5

in the Harbor is one of the five numbers

included in Inam

Title deed No 347

granted to Lemaffa

and 35 others, of

fourteen of which

two was acquired for

Railway purposes and

the Dy Commr ordered

proportionate

to the land acquired

from the land

No 8 to be reduced

from the quit rent

leviable from

The grievance (as to
of the patwari so regard
to be redressed

that the amount

~~Petitioners appeal~~
against the order
dated ~~the~~ Conclusion
is that the sum of
Rs 8 must be ~~deducted~~ deducted from the gross rent payable
by ~~all the~~ ~~Lia for~~ Sharers, and not
~~Numbers and the~~ from the gross rent payable
~~Quit rent of each pro-~~ by Asst only.
portionately reduced
~~the sum~~ ~~of~~ ~~deed~~
~~that~~ ~~was~~ ~~was~~ produced no evidence
~~inclusively in the possession~~ to show during no 5 to
~~of Appos~~ ~~and the~~ assess by all the
~~rent~~ ~~Appos~~ ~~and the~~ Sharers, ~~the~~ Asst
~~alone was made liable~~ Sole Real Sharer
for its Quit rent. It
therefore follows that
Appos now alone and
nobody else is entitled
to a reduction of Rs 8.
But it is alleged by
the appellants that Res
Entry in the Sum Settlement
is not Correct. The Correctness

The Asst
who had jurisdiction
for 5 Khatas

The Asst's decision
is upon correct, and
gives no reason
to modify it.

(General Spiral No. 32.)

(1)

1894.

No. 25

Name of Petitioner & Village or Street, Taluk, District:

Village: Harihar

Taluk. Do

District: Chitaldroog

Date of Arri. 3-7-94.

Date of Receipt. 4-7-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

The petitioners are all of them Chenchuvadars enjoying the said inam lands the jodi of which being fixed on the collection assessment on them in Fasli No: 5, some portion has been taken away for Railway and consequently the assessment has reduced by Rs 9/-

The 2nd Hijeelar Seen[illegible] has to the Deputy Commissioner for the deduction of the proportional jodi amount from the assessment on land Survey No: 5 only. But they protested against this & so the Deputy Commissioner to deduct the said amount from the rental for the [illegible]

(1)

Returned for production of the under attested copies

13/7/94

13-7-94

11/8/94

2

as the petitioner has filed his petition
for abatement of the said taxes. But
the Deputy Commissioner without
enquiry passed order on 11-4-94, deducting
the said amount from the assessment
of S. No. 5 only.

The petitioner pray that they
will produce the Deputy Commissioner's
order & other records on the hearing day.

Pray that the Deputy
Commissioner's above order may
be cancelled & the said amount may
be deducted from the whole petitioner.

7/7.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REC'D. NO. 26 R
DATE 4-7-94

(6)

Vide Finance Secretary's Note dated 7-6-94-95

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894

(3)

No. 195

Name of Petitioner. ~~Thimappa Poojary~~ Valiveedu of Gandhuranga Rao, Desayi Gumma

Village. Harihar

Taluk. - Do -

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 15-7-94

Date of Receipt. 18-7-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Encloses a copy of the Deputy Commissioners order against which he appeals, as per this office order No: 25, dated 13th July 1894.

20/7.

Admitted to Council

Stamp sufficient

Appeal originally presented in time but without a copy of the order appealed against.

Appeal re-presented with a copy of ~~the~~ order ~~on~~ 37 ~~38~~ days out of time

L2-182 121-94-3

2

18/8

Vide order on file 25

To hear yet

(Sd) J. K. K. J.

Fixed for 20 September

Time noted to Thimappa Poojary

1/ Basappa

2/ Nanduga Rao

18 Notice issued 18/8/94.

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਸਮਾਪਤੀ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 1. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਸ਼ਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ। 20

(1) ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਜੀਵਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਖੇਡਾਂ ਦੀ ਮਹੱਤਤਾ

(2) ਸਾਡੇ ਤਿਉਹਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨਾਲ ਜੁੜੀਆਂ ਪਰੰਪਰਾਵਾਂ

(3) ਮੇਰੇ ਜੀਵਨ ਦਾ ਉਦੇਸ਼

(4) ਵਾਤਾਵਰਣ ਪ੍ਰਦੂਸ਼ਣ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੇ ਕਾਰਨ

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 2. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਸ਼ਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 150 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ। 10

(1) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਜਨਮ-ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(2) ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਲਈ ਪੈਸੇ ਭੇਜਣ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(3) ਆਪਣੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਭਰਾ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲੱਗਣ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(4) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਬਿਮਾਰ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਖ਼ਬਰ ਸੁਣ ਕੇ ਹਮਦਰਦੀ ਭਰਿਆ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 3. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਸ਼ਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 150 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ। 10

(1) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਜਨਮ-ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(2) ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਲਈ ਪੈਸੇ ਭੇਜਣ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(3) ਆਪਣੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਭਰਾ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲੱਗਣ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(4) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਬਿਮਾਰ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਖ਼ਬਰ ਸੁਣ ਕੇ ਹਮਦਰਦੀ ਭਰਿਆ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ 4. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਸ਼ਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 150 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ। 10

(1) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਜਨਮ-ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(2) ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਲਈ ਪੈਸੇ ਭੇਜਣ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(3) ਆਪਣੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਭਰਾ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲੱਗਣ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

(4) ਆਪਣੇ ਮਿੱਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਬਿਮਾਰ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਖ਼ਬਰ ਸੁਣ ਕੇ ਹਮਦਰਦੀ ਭਰਿਆ ਪੱਤਰ ਲਿਖੋ।

12

Copy of the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug-District, with regard to reduction of Jodi on field No. 5 of Harihar village.

The Inam Register has dealt with field No. 5 distinctly & separately from the other lands. This therefore is not a case in which proportionate reduction should be made on the entire assessment of several lands clubbed together. The assessment imposed on No. 5 was Rs 26-4-0. Out of this land a portion assessed @ Rs 8 was taken up for Railway purposes. Instead of writing off all the 8 Rs. from the accounts, the Taluk Authorities have written off only Rs 6-8-0. In other words, they have taken the entire assessment of all the fields into account & made calculations as follows;- If for or Rs 189 the Jodi is Rs 15-4, for Rs 8 the Jodi is Rs 6-8-0. This is clearly wrong. The assessment of land No. 5 was Rs 26-4-0. The Jodi was also the same. What remains of No. 5 is assessed @ Rs 18-4-0. The Jodi ought to be the same & not Rs. 19-8-0. as made out in the Taluk. The contention of the other hissedars is untenable. It is too late now to question the correctness of the entries in the Inam Register. Nor can the question whether Seena Appa alone is liable

to No 6 or whether the 13 hissedars
are also entitled to shares in it, be gone
into in the Mis; Department. I direct
that Rs 8 be deducted from the
quitrent of Rs 26-4-0 fixed for
land No 5.

Col. C. Srinivasa Ayyar
Deputy Commissioner

Date of Application 11-4-94
Date of Copy ready - 20.4.94
Date of Delivery ——
Number of words. 284
Amount of fee - 4-4-6
Comparing fee - 4-1-4
Total 4-5-6

Compared.
Guruda Rao
Gumasta

"Copy"

Deputy Amildar

15 Da (6)

Appeal No 7 of 1894-95.

Appellants.

Veenappa Sastri.

Narasinga Row

of Harihar

Harihar Sub Taluk

Chitaldrug District.

Respondent.

Appu Row.

L.R. 121-94-5

## Decision

Survey No 5 in Harihar is one of the five numbers included in Inam Title-deed No 347 granted to 1st Appellant, Respondent and 4 others. A portion of it was acquired for Railway purposes, and the Deputy Commissioner ordered the full assessment (Rs 8) of the portion so acquired

to be deducted from the quit-rent leviable from the Respondent who had possession of No 5. The appeal is against this order.

Appellants' contention is that the sum of Rs 8 must be deducted from the quit-rent payable by all the sharers, and not from the quit-rent payable by Respondent only.

Appellants have produced no evidence to show that Survey No 5 is enjoyed by all the sharers, whereas the Inam Title Deed shows that it was exclusively in the possession of Respondent.

No. Pet. 291

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 25 dated 3rd July 1894 received at the Dewan's Office from praying a Pow Alcaji Gumasta and Mes inclusion of Kaniam lands in Kaniam, appealing aga Re Sy. Comm's order dated 2nd April 1894 in the matter of rejection of their encroachments is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Sy. Commr. Requiring report, & submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

2. The petition should be returned.

By Order,

Draft

Bangalore, 22-8-1894.

Chief Secretary.

27.

S.VR 21/8

2 8-2 10 94

27-8-94

(2)

L.R. 9

L.R. 12.1-94-2

a portion of one of the lands being taken up for Railway

18

(7)

25.

L. 121-94-6

10-11-

Draft

"By order"

Asst. Chief Secretary

7/11

10-11-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 195
DATE 18/7/94

(3)

(11)

195

Ref #2
14/9

14

2.

Rec'd 15/9.

(General Serial No. 30.

1894

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To Government
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~
of Mysore.

Dated 12th September 1894.

Despatched — do —

Received

Enclosures No. , with a file
of papers.

~~General No.~~ 193
No. 173

Vide Dated 21st Aug
& 22 September

R/ 17/9

D.R. 12/9/94

Enclosed petition returned

(5)

P1178

3 5 5 7

This official memorandum No: 291 dated the 23rd ultimo requesting the submission of the entire proceedings connected with certain Jodi Inam in the Harihar village of the Harihar Sub-Yaluk.

---

With reference to the above, I have the honor to submit the said record as per statement attached hereto, and to state that the same contains the undersigned's order and an English memo on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Deputy Commissioner.
Chitaldroog District

17

The Deputy Commissioner's decision is therefore correct, and the Government sees no reason to modify it.

By order

Receipt [illegible] 26/10

Taluchdar Date 16/10/94

Endorsement

No 7252 / Petn 52-94 26-10-94 / 10-11-

Petitioner are informed that their appeal has been dismissed. Copy of the Deputy Commr Chittagong's order with the record received with his letter

No 173 dt 12-9-94

[illegible] 26/10

By order

[illegible] 26/10

for Chief Secretary

[illegible] 25/10